

April 20, 2018

Frank Tabish LHC, Inc. P.O. Box 7338 Kalispell, MT 59904

Dear Mr. Tabish:

Montana Air Quality Permit #3050-04 is deemed final as of April 20, 2018, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department). This permit is for a non-metallic mineral processing plant. All conditions of the Department's Decision remain the same. Enclosed is a copy of your permit with the final date indicated.

For the Department,

Julie A. Merkel
Permitting Services Section Supervisor
Air Quality Burgan

Julio A Merkl

Air Quality Bureau (406) 444-3626

John P. Proulx Air Quality Specialist Air Quality Bureau (406) 444-5391

for Part Park

JM:JPP Enclosure

# Montana Department of Environmental Quality Air, Energy, and Mining Division

Montana Air Quality Permit #3050-04

LHC, Inc. P.O. Box 7338 Kalispell, MT 59904

April 20, 2018



## MONTANA AIR QUALITY PERMIT

Issued To: LHC, Inc. MAQP: #3050-04

P.O. Box 7338 Application Complete: 2/28/2018

Kalispell, MT 59904-0338 Preliminary Determination Issued: 3/14/2018

Department's Decision Issued: 4/4/2018

Permit Final: 4/20/2018

AFS #: 777-3050

A Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP), with conditions, is hereby granted to LHC, Inc (LHC) pursuant to Sections 75-2-204 and 211 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.740, *et seq.*, as amended, for the following:

SECTION I: Permitted Facilities

## A. Plant Location

LHC operates a portable screen at various locations throughout the State of Montana. MAQP #3050-04 applies while operating at any location within the State of Montana, except within those areas having a Department of Environmental Quality (Department)-approved permitting program and those areas considered tribal lands. MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 applies while operating in or within 10 kilometers (km) of the Libby, Thompson Falls, Kalispell, Whitefish, Columbia Falls, and Butte Nonattainment Areas. *A Missoula County air quality permit will be required for locations within Missoula County*.

# B. Current Permit Action

On January 18, 2018, the Department received a permit modification application from LHC to increase the screening production throughput capacity of the plant to 500 tons per hour (TPH) as well as increase the diesel-fired generator horsepower (hp) to 650 hp.

### SECTION II: Conditions and Limitations

### A. Emission Limitations

- 1. All visible emissions from any Standards of Performance for New Stationary Source (NSPS)-affected crusher shall not exhibit an opacity of 15% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).
  - For crushers that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction on or after April 22, 2008: 12% opacity
  - For crushers that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction after August 31, 1983, but before April 22, 2008: 15% opacity

- 2. All visible emissions from any other NSPS-affected equipment, such as screens or conveyor transfers, shall not exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).
  - For equipment that commences construction, modification, or reconstruction on or after April 22, 2008: 7% opacity
  - For equipment that commences construction, modification, or reconstruction after August 31, 1983, but before April 22, 2008: 10% opacity
- 3. All visible emissions from any non-NSPS affected equipment shall not exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304 and ARM 17.8.752).
- 4. Water spray bars and a fogging/mist system shall be available on site at all times and operated as necessary to maintain compliance with the opacity limitations in Sections II.A.1, II.A.2, and II.A.3 (ARM 17.8.752).
- 5. LHC shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter (ARM 17.8.308).
- 6. LHC shall treat all unpaved portions of the haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or the general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant, as necessary, to maintain compliance with the reasonable precautions limitation in Section II.A.5 (ARM 17.8.752).
- 7. Screening production is limited to 4,380,000 tons during any rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.749).
- 8. LHC shall not operate more than one diesel engine/generator at any time and the maximum rated hp of the engine/generator shall not exceed 650 hp (ARM 17.8.749).
- 9. If the permitted equipment is used in conjunction with any other equipment owned or operated by LHC, at the same site, production shall be limited to correspond with an emission level that does not exceed 250 tons during any rolling 12-month period. Any calculations used to establish production levels shall be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.749).
- 10. LHC shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, recordkeeping, testing, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO, *Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants* (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).

11. LHC shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, recordkeeping, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII, Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines, for any applicable diesel engine (ARM 17.8.340; 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII; ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ).

# B. Testing Requirements

- 1. Within 60 days after achieving maximum production, but no later than 180 days after initial start-up, an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 9 opacity test and/or other methods and procedures as specified in 40 CFR 60.675 must be performed on all NSPS-affected equipment to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitations contained in Sections II.A.1 and II.A.2 (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart A and Subpart OOO).
- 2. All compliance source tests shall conform to the requirements of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
- 3. The Department may require further testing (ARM 17.8.105).

# C. Operational Reporting Requirements

- 1. If this screening plant is moved to another location, an Intent to Transfer form must be sent to the Department and a Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area to which the transfer is to be made, at least 15 days prior to the move. The proof of publication (affidavit) of the Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be submitted to the Department prior to the move. These forms are available from the Department (ARM 17.8.749 and ARM 17.8.765).
- 2. LHC shall supply the Department with annual production information for all emission points, as required by the Department in the annual emission inventory request. The request will include, but not be limited to, all sources of emissions identified in the emission inventory contained in the permit analysis.

Production information shall be gathered on a calendar-year basis and submitted to the Department by the date required in the emission inventory request. Information shall be in the units required by the Department. This information may be used for calculating operating fees, based on actual emissions from the facility, and/or to verify compliance with permit limitations (ARM 17.8.505).

- 3. LHC shall notify the Department of any construction or improvement project conducted, pursuant to ARM 17.8.745, that would include *the addition of a new emissions unit*, change in control equipment, stack height, stack diameter, stack flow, stack gas temperature, source location, or fuel specifications, or would result in an increase in source capacity above its permitted operation. The notice must be submitted to the Department, in writing, 10 days prior to startup or use of the proposed de minimis change, or as soon as reasonably practicable in the event of an unanticipated circumstance causing the de minimis change, and must include the information requested in ARM 17.8.745(l)(d) (ARM 17.8.745).
- 4. LHC shall maintain on-site records showing daily hours of operation and daily production rates for the last 12 months. The records compiled in accordance with this permit shall be maintained by LHC as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the measurement, must be available at the plant site for inspection by the Department, and must be submitted to the Department upon request (ARM 17.8.749).

# Montana Air Quality (MAQP) Analysis LHC, Inc. MAQP #3050-04

# I. Introduction/Process Description

LHC, Inc (LHC) owns and operates a portable screening operation.

## A. Permitted Equipment

LHC owns and operates the following permitted equipment to process sand and gravel materials:

- One (1) Screen with a maximum rating of 500 tons per hour (TPH)
- One (1) Diesel fired engine/generator up to 650 horsepower (hp)
- Associated equipment

# B. Source Description

LHC owns and operates a screen rated for a maximum throughput of 500 TPH. The screen sorts sand and gravel to specific sized materials for sale and use in the construction industry. Typically, the permitted screen will operate in conjunction with other permitted sand and gravel processing equipment. LHC will utilize a portable electrical generator powered by diesel engine to supply electricity. The diesel engine/generator will have a maximum design capacity of up to 650 hp. The last location on file for the facility is Section 9, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County.

# C. Permit History

On May 5, 1999, LHC was issued **Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #3050-00** and **Addendum 1** for the operation of a 300 TPH screen. The screen was to be used in conjunction with various sand and gravel operations performed by LHC. LHC requested the permit be general enough in nature to allow renting of any make or model screen as long as the capacity never exceeded 300 TPH.

On February 26, 2000, LHC requested that MAQP #3050-00 be modified to allow the permitted facility to operate in or within 10 kilometers (km) of certain particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) nonattainment areas (NAAs) during the summer months (April 1, 2001, through September 30, 2001). On April 6, 2001, the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) issued MAQP #3050-01 to reflect the change. **MAQP #3050-01** replaced MAQP #3050-00 and **Addendum 2** replaced Addendum 1.

On December 3, 2001, LHC requested that Addendum 1 to MAQP #3050-01 be updated to allow the permitted facility to operate in or within 10 km of the Kalispell, Libby, Whitefish, Columbia Falls, Butte, and Thompson Falls PM<sub>10</sub> NAAs during the summer months (April 1 through September 30) and the Kalispell and Thompson Falls Nonattainment Ares during the winter months (October 1 through March 31).

Wintertime operations were limited to Sections 25 and 26, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County and Section 13, Township 21 North, Range 29 West, in Sanders County, Montana. **MAQP #3050-02** replaced MAQP#3050-01 and **Addendum 3** replaced Addendum 2.

On July 27, 2009, the Department received a de minimis request letter from LHC requesting to add a diesel engine/generator up to 110 hp. The permitting action was an administrative amendment to include the addition of the engine/generator. The permit was also updated to reflect the current permit language, format, and rule references used by the Department. **MAQP #3050-03** replaced MAQP 3050-02 and **Addendum 4** replaced Addendum 3.

# D. Current Permit Action

On January 18, 2018, the Department received a permit modification application from LHC to increase the screening production throughput capacity of the plant to 500 TPH as well as increase the diesel-fired generator hp to 650 hp. In addition to the permit modification changes, the permit action updates the permit language and rule references used by the Department, as well as adjusted the emission inventory to reflect the increase in production capacity and horsepower. **MAQP #3050-04** replaces MAQP 3050-03 and **Addendum 5** replaces Addendum 4.

#### E. Additional Information

Additional information, such as applicable rules and regulations, Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) determinations, air quality impacts, and environmental assessments, is included in the analysis associated with each change to the permit.

# II. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial explanations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for location of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

- A. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 1 General Provisions, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.101 Definitions</u>. This rule includes a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
  - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.105 Testing Requirements</u>. Any person or persons responsible for the emission of any air contaminant into the outdoor atmosphere shall, upon written request of the Department, provide the facilities and necessary equipment (including instruments and sensing devices) and shall conduct tests, emission or ambient, for such periods of time as may be necessary using methods approved by the Department.

- 3. <u>ARM 17.8.106 Source Testing Protocol</u>. The requirements of this rule apply to any emission source testing conducted by the Department, any source, or other entity as required by any rule in this chapter, or any permit or order issued pursuant to this chapter, or the provisions of the Clean Air Act of Montana, 75-2-101, *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA).
  - LHC shall comply with the requirements contained in the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual, including, but not limited to, using the proper test methods and supplying the required reports. A copy of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual is available from the Department upon request.
- 4. <u>ARM 17.8.110 Malfunctions</u>. (2) The Department must be notified promptly by telephone whenever a malfunction occurs that can be expected to create emissions in excess of any applicable emission limitation or to continue for a period greater than 4 hours.
- 5. ARM 17.8.111 Circumvention. (1) No person shall cause or permit the installation or use of any device or any means that, without resulting in reduction of the total amount of air contaminant emitted, conceals or dilutes an emission of air contaminant that would otherwise violate an air pollution control regulation. (2) No equipment that may produce emissions shall be operated or maintained in such a manner as to create a public nuisance.
- B. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 2 Ambient Air Quality, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. ARM 17.8.204 Ambient Air Monitoring
  - 2. ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)
  - 3. ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)
  - 4. ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide (CO)
  - 5. ARM 17.8.213 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)
  - 6. ARM 17.8.214 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S)
  - 7. ARM 17.8.220 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Settled Particulate Matter (PM)
  - 8. ARM 17.8.221 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Visibility
  - 9. ARM 17.8.222 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Lead
  - 10. ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM<sub>10</sub>

LHC must maintain compliance with the applicable ambient air quality standards.

- C. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 3 Emission Standards, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.304 Visible Air Contaminants</u>. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any source installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.

- 2. ARM 17.8.308 Particulate Matter, Airborne. (1) This rule requires an opacity limitation of less than 20% for all fugitive emission sources and that reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter. (2) Under this rule, LHC shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter.
- 3. ARM 17.8.309 Particulate Matter, Fuel Burning Equipment. This rule requires that no person shall cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter caused by the combustion of fuel in excess of the amount determined by this section.
- 4. <u>ARM 17.8.310 Particulate Matter, Industrial Process</u>. This rule requires that no person shall cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter in excess of the amount set forth in this section.
- 5. <u>ARM 17.8.322 Sulfur Oxide Emissions--Sulfur in Fuel</u>. This rule requires that no person shall burn liquid, solid, or gaseous fuel in excess of the amount set forth in this section.
- 6. ARM 17.8.324 Hydrocarbon Emissions--Petroleum Products. (3) No person shall load or permit the loading of gasoline into any stationary tank with a capacity of 250 gallons or more from any tank truck or trailer, except through a permanent submerged fill pipe, unless such tank truck or trailer is equipped with a vapor loss control device as described in (1) of this rule.
- 7. <u>ARM 17.8.340 Standard of Performance for New Stationary Sources</u>. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 CFR Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS). LHC is considered an NSPS affected facility under 40 CFR Part 60 and is subject to the requirements of the following subparts:
  - a. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart A General Provisions</u> apply to all equipment or facilities subject to an NSPS Subpart as listed below:
  - b. 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants. In order for a processing plant to be subject to this subpart, the facility must meet the definition of an affected facility and, the affected equipment must have been constructed, reconstructed, or modified after August 31, 1983. The Department has on file that a 1999 screen is currently being used under this permit. Because the screen was manufactured after August 31, 1983 and has a rated capacity greater than 150 TPH, if the screen is operated with a crusher manufactured after this date, this screen would be subject to these standards.

- c. 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII, Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition (CI) Internal Combustion Engines (ICE), indicates that NSPS requirements apply to owners or operators of stationary CI ICE that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction after July 11, 2005, where the stationary CI ICE is manufactured after April 1, 2006, and is not a fire pump engine. Any engine/generator meeting the applicability requirements of this rule will be subject to the NSPS.
- 8. ARM 17.8.342 Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. This rule requires that a source, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63, comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63.
  - a. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart A General Provisions</u> apply to all equipment or facilities subject to a National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) Subpart as listed below:
  - b. 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ NESHAPs for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE). Diesel RICE engines are an affected source if they are new or reconstructed on or after June 12, 2006. Any diesel RICE engine operated by LHC that is new or reconstructed on or after June 12, 2006, will be subject to this standard. Any engine/generator meeting the applicability requirements of this rule will be subject to these standards. Since the permit is written in a de minimis-friendly manner, area source provisions of the MACT requirements may apply to future engine/generators.
- D. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 5 Air Quality Permit Application, Operation, and Open Burning Fees, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. ARM 17.8.504 Air Quality Permit Application Fees. This rule requires that an applicant submit an air quality permit application fee concurrent with the submittal of an air quality permit application. A permit application is incomplete until the proper application fee is paid to the Department. LHC submitted the appropriate permit application fee for the current permit action.
  - 2. ARM 17.8.505 Air Quality Operation Fees. An annual air quality operation fee must, as a condition of continued operation, be submitted to the Department by each source of air contaminants holding an air quality permit, excluding an open burning permit, issued by the Department; the air quality operation fee is based on the actual or estimated actual amount of air pollutants emitted during the previous calendar year.

An air quality operation fee is separate and distinct from an air quality permit application fee. The annual assessment and collection of the air quality operation fee, described above, shall take place on a calendar-year basis. The Department may insert into any final permit issued after the effective date of these rules, such conditions as may be necessary to require the payment of an air quality operation fee on a calendar-year basis, including provisions that pro-rate the required fee amount.

- E. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 Permit, Construction, and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.740 Definitions</u>. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
  - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.743 Montana Air Quality Permits--When Required</u>. This rule requires a person to obtain an air quality permit or permit modification to construct, modify, or use any asphalt plant, crusher or screen that has the potential to emit (PTE) greater than 15 tons per year of any pollutant. LHC has a PTE greater than 15 tons per year of particulate matter; therefore, an air quality permit is required.
  - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.744 Montana Air Quality Permits--General Exclusions</u>. This rule identifies the activities that are not subject to the Montana Air Quality Permit program.
  - 4. <u>ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits--Exclusion for De Minimis Changes</u>. This rule identifies the de minimis changes at permitted facilities that do not require a permit under the Montana Air Quality Permit Program.
    - ARM 17.8.748 New or Modified Emitting Units--Permit Application Requirements. (1) This rule requires that a permit application be submitted prior to installation, modification, or use of a source. LHC Submitted the required permit application for the current permit action. (7) This rule requires that the applicant notify the public by means of legal publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the application for a permit. LHC submitted an affidavit of publication of public notice for the January 9, 2018 issue of *The Daily Interlake*, a newspaper of general circulation in the Town of Kalispell in Flathead County, as proof of compliance with the public notice requirements.
  - 5. ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance or Denial of Permit. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
  - 6. <u>ARM 17.8.752 Emission Control Requirements</u>. This rule requires a source to install the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized. The required BACT analysis is included in Section III of this permit analysis.
  - 7. <u>ARM 17.8.755 Inspection of Permit</u>. This rule requires that air quality permits shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.

- 8. <u>ARM 17.8.756 Compliance with Other Requirements</u>. This rule states that nothing in the permit shall be construed as relieving LHC of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.*
- 9. <u>ARM 17.8.759 Review of Permit Applications</u>. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those permit applications that do not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
- 10. ARM 17.8.762 Duration of Permit. An air quality permit shall be valid until revoked or modified, as provided in this subchapter, except that a permit issued prior to construction of a new or modified source may contain a condition providing that the permit will expire unless construction is commenced within the time specified in the permit, which in no event may be less than 1 year after the permit is issued.
- 11. <u>ARM 17.8.763 Revocation of Permit.</u> An air quality permit may be revoked upon written request of the permittee, or for violations of any requirement of the Clean Air Act of Montana, rules adopted under the Clean Air Act of Montana, the FCAA, rules adopted under the FCAA, or any applicable requirement contained in the Montana State Implementation Plan (SIP).
- 12. ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit. An air quality permit may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. The owner or operator of a facility may not increase the facility's emissions beyond permit limits unless the increase meets the criteria in ARM 17.8.745 for a de minimis change not requiring a permit, or unless the owner or operator applies for and receives another permit in accordance with ARM 17.8.748, ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.755, and ARM 17.8.756, and with all applicable requirements in ARM Title 17, Chapter 8, Subchapters 8, 9, and 10.
- 13. ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit. (1) This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one location to another if the Department receives a complete notice of intent to transfer location, the facility will operate in the new location for less than 1 year, the facility will comply with the FCAA and the Clean Air Act of Montana, and the facility complies with other applicable rules. (2) This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one person to another if written notice of intent to transfer, including the names of the transferor and the transferee, is sent to the Department.
- F. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8 Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.801 Definitions</u>. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter.

2. ARM 17.8.818 Review of Major Stationary Sources and Major Modification—Source Applicability and Exemptions. The requirements contained in ARM 17.8.819 through ARM 17.8.827 shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the FCAA that it would emit, except as this subchapter would otherwise allow.

This facility is not a major stationary source because it is not a listed source and the facility's PTE is less than 250 tons per year of any pollutant (excluding fugitive emissions).

- G. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 12 Operating Permit Program Applicability, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.1201 Definitions</u>. (23) Major Source under Section 7412 of the FCAA is defined as any stationary source having:
    - a. PTE > 100 tons/year of any pollutant;
    - b. PTE > 10 tons/year of any one hazardous air pollutant (HAP), PTE > 25 tons/year of a combination of all HAPs, or lesser quantity as the Department may establish by rule; or
    - c. PTE > 70 tons/year of  $PM_{10}$  in a serious  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area.
  - 2. ARM 17.8.1204 Air Quality Operating Permit Program Applicability. (1) Title V of the FCAA Amendments of 1990 requires that all sources, as defined in ARM 17.8.1204 (1), obtain a Title V Operating Permit. In reviewing and issuing MAQP #3050-04 for LHC, the following conclusions were made:
    - a. The facility's PTE is less than 100 tons/year for any pollutant.
    - b. The facility's PTE is less than 10 tons/year for any one HAP and less than 25 tons/year of all HAPs.
    - c. This source is not located in a serious  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area.
    - d. This facility is potentially subject to current NSPS (40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).
    - e. This facility is potentially subject to current NESHAP (40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ).
    - f. This source is not a Title IV affected source.
    - g. This source is not a solid waste combustion unit.
    - h. This source is not an EPA designated Title V source.

Based on these facts, the Department determined that this facility is not subject to the Title V Operating Permit Program. However, in the event that the EPA makes minor sources that are subject to NSPS obtain a Title V Operating Permit, this source may be subject to the Title V Operating Permit Program.

#### III. BACT Determination

A BACT determination is required for each new or modified source. LHC shall install on the new or modified source the maximum air pollution control capability which is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized.

Previous BACT determinations have identified the use of water spray bars as sufficient particulate matter control for screening operations as well as compliance with applicable federal standards and proper operation and maintenance for the use of diesel-fired engines. LHC currently utilizes water spray bars for particulate matter suppression and proper operation and maintenance practices as well as compliance with applicable federal regulations for the diesel-fired engines. Therefore, the Department has determined that BACT is being utilized and no further analysis is needed.

# IV. Emission Inventory

CONTROLLED			t	ons/year			
Emission Source	PM	$PM_{10}$	$PM_{2.5}$	NO <sub>x</sub>	СО	VOC	$SO_2$
Cold Aggregate Storage Piles	8.50	4.02	0.61				
Cold Aggregate Handling/Conveyors	0.61	0.20	0.06				
Cold Aggregate Screens	4.82	1.62	0.11				
Haul Roads / Vehicle Traffic	11.37	3.13	0.31				
Diesel Generator (Large)	6.26	6.26	6.26	68.33	15.66	7.16	5.84
Total Emissions	31.57	15.24	7.35	68.33	15.66	7.16	5.84

#### Notes:

1. Values in table reflect "controlled" cells from subsequent worksheets

#### Cold Aggregate Storage Piles

Maximum Process Rate = 500 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)  Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr  Number of Piles = 1 piles	500 8760 1	ton/hr hrs/yr piles
PM Emissions:  Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.  Emission Factor = $k (0.0032) * (U/5)^1.3 * (M/2)^1.4 = 0.00388 $ lb/ton	0.0039	lb/ton
Where: $k = \text{particle size multiplier} = 0.74 \text{ (Value for PM} < 30 \text{ microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, } 11/06)$	0.74	
U = mean wind speed = 9.3 mph (Average from values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)	9.3	mph
M = material moisture content = 2.5% (Average from values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)	2.5	%
Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1 piles) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.00388216962566822 lb/ton) = 8.50 ton/yr	8.50	ton/yr

PM10 Emissions:  Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.  Emission Factor = k (0.0032) * (U/5)^1.3 * (M / 2)^-1.4 = 0.00184 lb/ton  Where: k = particle size multiplier = 0.35 (Value for PM < 10 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  U = mean wind speed = 9.3 mph (Average from values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  M = material moisture content = 2.5% (Average from values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1 piles) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.00183616130943767 lb/ton) = 4.02 ton/yr	0.00184 0.35 9.3 2.5 4.02	lb/ton mph % ton/yr
PM2.5 Emissions:  Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.  Emission Factor = k (0.0032) * (U/5)^1.3 * (M / 2)^1.4 = 0.00028 lb/ton  Where: k = particle size multiplier = 0.053 (Value for PM < 2.5 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  U = mean wind speed = 9.3 mph (Average from values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  M = material moisture content = 2.5% (Average from values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1 piles) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.000278047284000562 lb/ton) = 0.61 ton/yr	0.000278 0.053 9.3 2.5 0.61	lb/ton mph % ton/yr
Conveyor Transfer Point (SCC 3-05-020-06)		
Maximum Process Rate = 500 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)  Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr  Number of Transfers = 2 transfer (Company Information)	500 8760 2	ton/hr hrs/yr transfer
Total PM Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.00014 lb/ton (0.00014 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (2 transfer) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.00014 lb/ton) = 0.61 ton/yr	0.00014 0.61	lb/ton ton/yr
Total PM10 Emissions: Emission Factor = $0.000046$ lb/ton ( $0.000046$ controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: ( $500$ ton/hr) * ( $8760$ hrs/yr) * ( $2$ transfer) * (ton/ $2000$ lb) * ( $0.00014$ lb/ton) = $0.20$ ton/yr	0.000046 0.20	lb/ton ton/yr
Total PM2.5 Emissions Emission Factor = 0.000013 lb/ton (0.000013 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (2 transfer) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.00014 lb/ton) = 0.06 ton/yr	0.000013 0.06	lb/ton ton/yr
Screening (SCC 3-05-020-02, 03)		
Maximum Process Rate = 500 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)  Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr 4380000 tons/year  Number of Screens = 1 screen(s) (Company Information)	500 8760 1	ton/hr hrs/yr screen(s)
Total PM Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.0022 lb/ton (0.0022 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1 screen(s)) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.0022 lb/ton) = 4.82 ton/yr	0.0022 4.82	lb/ton ton/yr
Total PM10 Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.00074 lb/ton (0.00074 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1 screen(s)) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.0022 lb/ton) = 1.62 ton/yr	0.00074 1.62	lb/ton ton/yr
Total PM2.5 Emissions Emission Factor = 0.00005 lb/ton (0.000050 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1 screen(s)) * (ton/2000 lb) * (0.0022 lb/ton) = 0.11 ton/yr	0.00005 0.11	lb/ton ton/yr

3050-04 10 Final: 4/20/2018

Haul Roads

Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per Day = 5 VMT/day (Estimate)  VMT per hour = (5 VMT/day) * (day/24 hrs) = 0.21 VMT/hr  Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr	5 0.208333333 8760	VMT/day VMT/hr hrs/yr
		. ,
PM Emissions:		
Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 3	1/06.	
Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 12.46 lb/VMT$	12.46	lb/VMT
Where: $k = constant = 4.9 lbs/VMT$ (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	4.9	lbs/VMT
s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, Al	P 42, Table 13.2.2-1, 11/06) 7.1	%
W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)	54	tons
a = constant = 0.7 (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.7	
b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.45	
Calculation: $(8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.21 \text{ VMT/hr}) * (12.46 \text{ lb/VMT}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 11.37 \text{ tons/yr}$ (Unontrolled PM10 Emissions:	Emissions) 11.37	tons/yr
Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2,	1/06.	
Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 3.43 \text{ lb/VMT}$	3.43	lb/VMT
Where: k = constant = 1.5 lbs/VMT (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	1.5	lbs/VMT
s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, Al		%
W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)	54	tons
a = constant = 0.9 (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.9	
b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.45	
Calculation: (8760 hrs/yr) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (3.43 lb/VMT) * (ton/2000 lb) = 3.13 tons/yr (Uncontrolled		tons/yr
PM2.5 Emissions  Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 2  Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 0.34 \text{ lb/VMT}$	1/06.	lb/VMT
Where: $k = constant = 0.15 lbs/VMT$ (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.15	lbs/VMT
s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, Al		%
W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)	54 54	tons
a = constant = 0.9 (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.9	tons
b = constant = 0.45  (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06) Calculation: (8760 hrs/yr) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (0.34 lb/VMT) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.31 tons/yr (Uncontrolled National Calculation)	0.45 Emissions) 0.31	tons/yr
Diesel Generator (Large)		
Note: Emissions are based on the power output of the engine (650 hp).		
Operational Capacity of Engine = 650 hp	650	hp
Hours of Operation = 8,760.00 hours	8760	hours
	0.00	
PM Emissions:		
PM Emissions = 6.26 ton/yr (Assume all PM < 1.0 um)	6.26	ton/yr
PM-10 Emissions:		
Emission Factor = 0.0022 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	0.0022	lbs/hp-h
Calculation: $(650 \text{ hp}) * (8,760 \text{ hours}) * (0.0022 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 6.26 \text{ ton/yr}$	6.26	ton/yr
PM2.5 Emissions		
Emission Factor = 0.0022 lbs/hp-hr (Assume all PM < 1.0 um)	0.0022	lbs/hp-h
Calculation: $(650 \text{ hp}) * (8,760 \text{ hours}) * (0.0022 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 6.26 \text{ ton/yr}$ (Assume all PM < 3.000 pm.)	.0 um) 6.26	ton/yr

NOx Emissions:

3050-04 11 Final: 4/20/2018

Emission Factor = 0.024 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	0.024	lbs/hp-hr
Calculation: $(650 \text{ hp}) * (8,760 \text{ hours}) * (0.024 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 68.33 \text{ ton/yr}$	68.33	ton/yr
CO Emissions:		
Emission Factor = 0.0055 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	5.50E-03	lbs/hp-hr
Calculation: $(650 \text{ hp}) * (8,760 \text{ hours}) * (0.0055 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 15.66 \text{ ton/yr}$	15.66	ton/yr
VOC Emissions:		
Emission Factor = 0.0025141 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, TOC, Exhaust & Crankcase, 10/96)	2.51E-03	lbs/hp-hr
Calculation: $(650 \text{ hp}) * (8,760 \text{ hours}) * (0.0025141 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 7.16 \text{ ton/yr}$	7.16	ton/yr
SOx Emissions:		
Emission Factor = 0.00205 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	2.05E-03	lbs/hp-hr
Calculation: $(650 \text{ hp}) * (8,760 \text{ hours}) * (0.00205 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 5.84 \text{ ton/yr}$	5.84	ton/yr

# V. Existing Air Quality

MAQP #3050-04 allows the operation of the screening equipment at various locations throughout Montana. The areas covered by MAQP #3050-04 are designated as attainment/unclassified for the ambient air quality standards. Addendum 5 to MAQP #3050-04 allows LHC to operate in certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas during both the summer and winter months.

# VI. Air Quality Impacts

MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 are issued for the operation of a portable screening plant. In the view of the Department, the amount of controlled emissions generated by this facility will not exceed any set ambient standard. In addition, this source is portable and any air quality impacts would be expected to be minimal and temporary. The Department determined that the impact from this permitting action will be minor. The Department believes it will not cause or contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard.

# VII. Ambient Air Impact Analysis

The Department determined, based on the maximum potential to emit, and permitting procedures designed to protect ambient air quality standards, that the impact from this permitting action will be minor. The Department believes it will not cause or contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard.

### VIII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation
		affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?

YES	NO	
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others, disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged or flooded?
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

# IX. Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment, required by the Montana Environmental Policy Act, was completer for this project. A copy is attached.

# Addendum 5 Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #3050-04

An addendum to MAQP #3050-04 is hereby granted to LHC, Inc (LHC) pursuant to Section 75-2-204 and 211 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.765, as amended, for the following:

# I. Permitted Equipment:

LHC owns and operates a portable non-metallic mineral processing facility consisting of a screen (maximum capacity 500 tons per hour (TPH)), and a diesel fired engine/generator (up to a 650 horsepower (hp)).

### II. Seasonal and Site Restrictions – Winter and Summer Seasons

Addendum 5 applies to the LHC facility while operating at any location in or within 10 kilometers (km) of certain particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) nonattainment areas. Additionally, seasonal and site restrictions apply to the facility as follows:

- A. During the winter season (October 1-March 31) The only locations in or within 10 km of a PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area where LHC may operate are:
  - 1. Sections 25 and 26, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County
  - 2. Section 13, Township 21 North, Range 29 West, in Sanders County
  - 3. Any other site that may be approved, in writing, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department).
- B. During the summer season (April 1-September 30) LHC may operate at any location in or within 10 km of the Butte, Columbia Falls, Kalispell, Libby, Thompson Falls, and Whitefish PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas.
- C. LHC shall comply with the limitations and conditions contained in Addendum 5 to MAQP #3050-04 while operating in or within 10 km of any of the previously identified PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas. Addendum 5 shall be valid until revoked or modified. The Department reserves the authority to modify Addendum 5 at any time based on local conditions of any future site. These conditions may include, but are not limited to, local terrain, meteorological conditions, proximity to residences or other businesses, etc.

## III. Limitations and Conditions – Summer Season

- A. Water spray bars and/or a mist/fogging system must be available and operated, as necessary, on the screens and all transfer points whenever the screening plant is in operation (ARM 17.8.749).
- B. LHC shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any equipment, such as screens or transfer points, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.749).

- C. LHC shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or the general plant property any visible fugitive emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater (ARM 17.8.749).
- D. LHC shall treat all unpaved portions of the access roads, parking lots, and general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary to maintain compliance with the 10% opacity limitation (ARM 17.8.749).
- E. LHC shall not operate more than one screen at any one time. Total screen production shall not exceed 12,000 tons per day (ARM 17.8.749).
- F. LHC shall not operate more than one diesel engine/generator at any one time. The diesel engine/generator shall have a maximum rating of 650 hp (ARM 17.8.749).

#### IV. Limitations and Conditions – Winter Season

- A. Operational Limitations and Conditions
  - 1. Water spray bars and/or a mist/fogging system must be available and operated, as necessary, on the screens and all transfer points whenever the screening plant is in operation (ARM 17.8.749).
  - 2. LHC shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any equipment, such as screens or transfer points, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.749).
  - 3. LHC shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or the general plant property any visible fugitive emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater (ARM 17.8.749).
  - 4. LHC shall treat all unpaved portions of the access roads, parking lots, and general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary to maintain compliance with the 10% opacity limitation (ARM 17.8.749).
  - 5. LHC shall not operate more than one screen at any one time. Total screen production shall not exceed 12,000 tons per day (ARM 17.8.749).
  - 6. LHC shall not operate more than one diesel engine/generator at any one time. The diesel engine/generator shall have a maximum rating of 650 hp (ARM 17.8.749).

# V. Operational Reporting Requirements

A. If this screening plant is moved to another nonattainment location, an Intent to Transfer form must be sent to the Department and a Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area to which the transfer is to be made, at least 15 days prior to the move. The proof of publication (affidavit) of the Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be submitted to the Department prior to the move. These forms are available from the Department (ARM 17.8.749 and ARM 17.8.765).

- B. Production information for the sites covered by this addendum must be maintained for 5 years and submitted to the Department upon request. The information must include (ARM 17.8.749):
  - 1. Tons of material screened by each screen at each site (including amount of recirculated/rerun material),
  - 2. Tons of bulk material loaded at each site (production),
  - 3. Daily hours of operation at each site,
  - 4. Gallons of diesel used by the generator at each site,
  - 5. Hours of operation and sizes for the generator at each site, and
  - 6. Fugitive dust information consisting of the total miles driven on unpaved roads for all plant vehicles.
- C. LHC shall document, by day, the total screening production. LHC shall sum the total screening production for the previous day to verify compliance with the limitations in Sections III.A.5 and IV.A.5. A written report of compliance and the emissions inventory shall be submitted to the Department annually. The report for the previous calendar year shall be submitted and may be submitted along with the annual emissions inventory (ARM 17.8.749).

# Addendum 5 Analysis LHC, Inc Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #3050-04

# I. Permitted Equipment

LHC, Inc (LHC) owns and operates a portable non-metallic mineral processing facility consisting of a screening plant (maximum capacity 500 tons per hour (TPH)), up to a 650 horsepower (hp) diesel engine/generator, and associated material handling and processing equipment.

# II. Source Description

LHC uses this screening plant to sort sand and gravel materials for use in various construction operations.

# III. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial quotations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) and are available, upon request, from the Department of Environmental Quality (Department). Upon request, the Department will provide references for locations of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 - Permit, Construction and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:

- A. ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance of Permit. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
- B. ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit. An air quality permit may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. A source may not increase its emissions beyond those found in its permit unless the source applies for and receives another permit.
- C. <u>ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit</u>. An air quality permit may be transferred from one location to another if:
  - 1. Written notice of intent to transfer location and proof of public notice are sent to the Department;
  - 2. The source will operate in the new location for a period of less than 1 year; and

3. The source will not have any significant impact on any nonattainment area or any Class I area.

# V. Emission Inventory

CONTROLLED (Summer)	pounds/day						
Emission Source	PM	PM10	PM2.5	NOx	CO	VOC	SO2
Cold Aggregate Storage Piles	39.66	18.76	2.84				
Cold Aggregate Handling/Conveyors	3.36	1.10	0.31				
Cold Aggregate Screens	26.40	8.88	0.60				
Haul Roads/Vehicle Traffic	62.30	17.17	1.72				
650 hp Diesel Engine Generator	34.32	34.32	34.32	483.60	104.21	39.22	31.98
Total Emissions	166.04	80.23	39.79	483.60	104.21	39.22	31.98

<547 lb/day

### Notes:

1. Values in table reflect "controlled" cells from subsequent worksheets

CONTROLLED (Winter)			poi	ınds/day			
Emission Source	PM	$PM_{10}$	$PM_{2.5}$	NOx	CO	VOC	$SO_2$
Cold Aggregate Storage Piles	39.66	18.76	2.84				1
Cold Aggregate Handling/Conveyors	3.36	1.10	0.31				
Cold Aggregate Screens	26.40	8.88	0.60				
Haul Roads/Vehicle Traffic	62.30	17.17	1.72				
650 hp Diesel Engine Generator	34.32	34.32	34.32	483.60	104.21	39.22	31.98
Total Emissions	166.04	80.23	39.79	483.60	104.21	39.22	31.98

<82 lb/day

### Notes:

1. Values in table reflect "controlled" cells from subsequent worksheets

Cold Aggregate Storage Piles	Cold	Aggregate	Storage	Piles
------------------------------	------	-----------	---------	-------

Maximum Process Rate = 500 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)	500	ton/hr
Maximum Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day (summer hours)	24	hrs/day
Maximum Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day (winter hours)	24	hrs/day
Number of Piles = 1 piles	1	piles
PM Emissions:		
Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.		

Predictive	e equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.			
Emission	0.00331	lb/ton		
Where:	k = particle size multiplier = 0.74 (Value for PM < 30 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)	0.74		
	$U = mean \ wind \ speed = 10 \ mph \ (Estimate \ based \ on \ values \ provided \ in \ AP \ 42, \ Sec. \ 13.2.4.3, \ 11/06)$	10.00	mph	
	M = material moisture content = 3% (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)	3.00	%	
Calculation	on: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 piles) * (0.00331 lb/ton) = 39.66 lb/day (Summer hours)	39.66	lb/day	
Calculatio	on: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 piles) * (0.00331 lb/ton) = 39.66 lb/day (Winter hours)	39.66	lb/day	

#### PM10 Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.

Emission Fa	$ctor = k (0.0032) * (U/5)^1.3 * (M/2)^-1.4 = 0.00156 lb/ton$	0.00156	lb/ton
Where:	k = particle size multiplier = 0.35 (Value for PM < 10 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)	0.35	

U = mean wind speed = 10 mph (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  M = material moisture content = 3% (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 piles) * (0.00331 lb/ton) = 18.76 lb/day (Summer hours)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 piles) * (0.00331 lb/ton) = 18.76 lb/day (Winter hours)	10.00 3.00 18.76 18.76	mph % lb/day lb/day
PM2.5 Emissions:  Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.  Emission Factor = k (0.0032) * (U/5)^1.3 * (M / 2)^-1.4 = 0.00024 lb/ton  Where: k = particle size multiplier = 0.053 (Value for PM < 2.5 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  U = mean wind speed = 10 mph (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  M = material moisture content = 3% (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 piles) * (0.00331 lb/ton) = 2.84 lb/day (Winter hours)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 piles) * (0.00331 lb/ton) = 2.84 lb/day (Winter hours)	0.000237 0.05 10.00 3.00 2.84 2.84	lb/ton mph % lb/day lb/day
Conveyor Transfer Point (SCC 3-05-02006)		
Maximum Process Rate = 500 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)  Maximum Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day  Maximum Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day  Number of Transfers = 2 transfer (Company Information)	500 24 24 2	ton/hr hrs/day hrs/day transfer
Total PM Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.00014 lb/ton (0.00014 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (2 transfer) * (0.00014 lb/ton) = 3.36 lb/day (Summer Hours) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (2 transfer) * (0.00014 lb/ton) = 3.36 lb/day (Winter Hours)	0.00014 3.36 3.36	lb/ton lb/day lb/day
Total PM10 Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.000046 lb/ton (0.000046 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (2 transfer) * (0.00005 lb/ton) = 1.10 lb/day (Summer Hours) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (2 transfer) * (0.00005 lb/ton) = 1.10 lb/day (Winter Hours)	0.000046 1.10 1.10	lb/ton lb/day lb/day
PM2.5 Emissions:  Emission Factor = 0.000013 lb/ton (0.000013 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (2 transfer) * (0.00001 lb/ton) = 0.31 lb/day (Summer Hours)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (2 transfer) * (0.00001 lb/ton) = 0.31 lb/day (Winter Hours)	0.000013 0.31 0.31	lb/ton lb/day lb/day
Fines Screening (SCC 3-05-020-21)		
Maximum Process Rate = 500 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)  Maximum Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day (Summer Hours)  Maximum Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day (Winter Hours)  Number of Screens = 1 screen(s) (Company Information)	500 24 24 1	ton/hr hrs/day hrs/day screen(s)
Total PM Emissions:  Emission Factor = 0.0022 lb/ton (0.0022 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 screen(s)) * (0.00220 lb/ton) = 26.40 lb/day (Summer Hours)  Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 screen(s)) * (26.40000 lb/day) = 26.40 lb/day (Winter Hours)	0.0022 26.40 26.40	lb/ton lb/day lb/day
Total PM10 Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.00074 lb/ton (0.00074 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 screen(s)) * (0.00074 lb/ton) = 8.88 lb/day (Summer Hours) Calculation: (500 ton/hr) * (24 hrs/day) * (1 screen(s)) * (8.88 lb/day) = 8.88 lb/day (Winter Hours)	0.00074 8.88 8.88	lb/ton lb/day lb/day

3050-04 Final: 4/20/2018

### PM2.5 Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00005 lb/ton (0.000050 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)	0.000050	lb/ton
Calculation: (500 ton/hr)*(24 hrs/day)*(1 screen(s))*(0.00005 lb/ton) = 0.60 lb/day (Summer Hours)	0.60	lb/day
Calculation: $(500 \text{ ton/hr}) * (24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (0.60 \text{ lb/day}) = 0.60 \text{ lb/day}$ (Winter Hours)	0.60	lb/day

1	1	а	uı	K	O	а	u	٤

Traui Roaus				
Valida Mila Tamala J (M/T) and Day = 5 VM/T/Jam/(Takinata)	-	VMT/da		
Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per Day = 5 VMT/day (Estimate)	5	y ************************************		
VMT per hour = $(5 \text{ VMT/day}) * (\text{day}/24 \text{ hrs}) = 0.21 \text{ VMT/hr}$	0.21	VMT/hr		
Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day (Summer Hours)	24	hrs/day		
Hours of Operation = 24 hrs/day (Winter Hours)	24	hrs/day		
PM Emissions:				
Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 11/06.				
Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 12.46 \text{ lb/VMT}$	12.46	lb/VMT		
Where: k = constant = 4.9 lbs/VMT (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	4.90	lbs/VM T		
s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, AP 42, Table	4.50	1		
13.2.2-1, 11/06)	7.10	%		
W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)	54.00	tons		
a = constant = 0.7 (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.70			
b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.45			
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (12.46 lb/VMT) = 62.30 lb/day (Uncontrolled Emissions, Summer Hours)	62.30	lb/day		
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (4.90 lbs/VMT) = 62.30 lb/day (Uncontrolled Emissions, Winter Hours)	62.30	lb/day		
		, ,		
PM10 Emissions:				
Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 11/06.				
	3.43	lb/VMT		
Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 3.43 \text{ lb/VMT}$	3.43	lbs/VM		
Where: $k = constant = 1.5 lbs/VMT$ (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	1.50	T		
s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-1, 11/06)	7.10	<sup>0</sup> / <sub>0</sub>		
W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)	54.00	tons		
a = constant = 0.9 (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.90			
b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.45			
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (3.43 lb/VMT) = 17.17 lb/day (Uncontrolled Emissions, Summer Hours)	17.17	lb/day		
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (1.50 lbs/VMT) = 17.17 lb/day (Uncontrolled Emissions, Winter Hours)	17.17	lb/day		
PM2.5 Emissions:				
Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 11/06.				
Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 0.34 lb/VMT$	0.34	lb/VMT		
Where: k = constant = 0.15 lbs/VMT (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.15	lbs/VM T		
s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, AP 42, Table	0.13	1		
13.2.2-1, 11/06)	7.10	%		
W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)	54.00	tons		
a = constant = 0.9 (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.90			
b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)	0.45			
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (0.34 lb/VMT) = 1.72 lb/day (Uncontrolled Emissions, Summer Hours)	1.72	lb/day		
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (0.15 lbs/VMT) = 1.72 lb/day (Uncontrolled Emissions, Winter Hours)  1.72 lb/day				
(1.11.7 tal) (0.12.1.1.7 tal) (0.12.1.7 tal) (0.12.1.7 tal)				

# Diesel Engine Generator

Note: Emissions are based on the power output of the engine (650 hp).

Operational Capacity of Engine = 650 hp	650	hp
Hours of Operation = 24.00 hrs/day (Summer Hours)	24	hrs/day
Hours of Operation = 24.00 hrs/day (Winter Hours)	24	hrs/day
PM Emissions:	24.22	11 / 1
PM Emissions = 34.32 lbs/day (Assume PM = PM10, Summer Hours)	34.32	lbs/day
PM Emissions = 34.32 lbs/day (Assume PM = PM10, Winter Hours)	34.32	lbs/day
PM-10 Emissions:		11 /1
Emission Factor = 0.0022 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	0.0022	lbs/hp- hr
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (650 hp) * (0.0022 lbs/hp-hr) = 34.32 lb/day (Summer Hours)	34.32	lb/day
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (650 \text{ hp}) * (34.32 \text{ lb/day}) = 34.32 \text{ lb/day}$ (Winter Hours)	34.32	lb/day
PM2.5 Emissions:		11 /1
Emission Factor = 0.0022 lbs/hp-hr (Assume all PM < 1.0 um)	0.0022	lbs/hp- hr
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (1,455 \text{ hp}) * (0.0022 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) = 76.82 \text{ lb/day (Summer Hours)}$	34.32	lb/day
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (1,455 \text{ hp}) * (76.824 \text{ lb/day}) = 38.41 \text{ lb/day}$ (Winter Hours)	34.32	lb/day
NOx Emissions:		
Emission Factor = 0.031 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	0.03	lbs/hp- hr
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (650 hp) * (0.031 lbs/hp-hr) = 483.60 lb/day (Summer Hours)	483.60	lb/day
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (650 \text{ hp}) * (483.6 \text{ lb/day}) = 483.60 \text{ lb/day}$ (Winter Hours)	483.60	lb/day
CO Emissions:		11 /1
Emission Factor = 0.00668 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	0.00668	lbs/hp- hr
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (650 \text{ hp}) * (0.00668 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) = 104.21 \text{ lb/day}$ (Summer Hours)	104.21	lb/day
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (650 \text{ hp}) * (104.208 \text{ lb/day}) = 104.21 \text{ lb/day}$ (Winter Hours)	104.21	lb/day
VOC Emissions:	0.000514	11 /1
Emission Factor = 0.0025141 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, TOC, Exhaust & Crankcase, 10/96)	0.002514 1	lbs/hp- hr
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (650 \text{ hp}) * (0.0025141 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) = 39.22 \text{ lb/day}$ (Summer Hours)	39.22	lb/day
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (650 hp) * (39.21996 lb/day) = 39.22 lb/day (Winter Hours)	39.22	lb/day
SOx Emissions:		11 /1
Emission Factor = 0.00205 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)	0.00205	lbs/hp- hr
Calculation: $(24 \text{ hrs/day}) * (650 \text{ hp}) * (0.00205 \text{ lbs/hp-hr}) = 31.98 \text{ lb/day}$ (Summer Hours)	31.98	lb/day
Calculation: (24 hrs/day) * (650 hp) * (31.98 lb/day) = 31.98 lb/day (Winter Hours)	31.98	lb/day
		•

# V. Existing Air Quality

On July 1, 1987, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>). Due to exceedances of the national standards for PM<sub>10</sub>, the cities of Kalispell (and the nearby Evergreen area), Columbia Falls, Butte, Whitefish, Libby, Missoula, and Thompson Falls were designated by EPA as nonattainment for PM<sub>10</sub>. As a result of this designation, the EPA required the Department and the City-County Health Departments to submit PM<sub>10</sub> State Implementation Plans (SIP). The SIPs consisted of emission control plans that controlled fugitive dust emissions from roads, parking lots, construction, and demolition, since technical studies identified these sources to be the major contributors to PM<sub>10</sub> emissions.

MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 are for a portable screening plant that will locate at sites in or within 10 kilometers (km) of certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas. Based on the maximum potential to emit of the permitted equipment, and permitting procedures designed to protect the ambient air quality standards, this permitting action is not expected to cause or contribute to the degradation of the ambient air quality in the areas permitted. The procedures used for non-attainment area permitting are designed to protect the national ambient air quality standards. Also, this facility is a portable source that would be expected to operate on an intermittent and temporary basis and any effects on air quality would therefore be expected to be minor and short-lived.

# VI. Air Quality Impacts

MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 will cover the operations of this portable screening plant while operating at any location within Montana, excluding those counties that have a Department approved permitting program and those areas that are tribal lands. Addendum 5 will cover the operations of this portable screening plant while operating in or within 10 km of the PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas specified in this addendum. Based on the maximum calculated potential to emit, and permitting procedures designed to protect the ambient air quality standards, this permitting action is not expected to cause or contribute to the degradation of the ambient air quality standards.

## VII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation
Λ		affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private
	Λ	property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others,
	$\Lambda$	disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an
	Λ	easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and
		legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use
		of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic
	Λ	impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect
	Λ	to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible,
	$\Lambda$	waterlogged or flooded?

YES	NO	
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

# VIII. Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment, required by the Montana Environmental Policy Act, was completed for this project. A copy is attached.

### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Air, Energy & Mining Division Air Quality Bureau P.O. Box 200901, Helena, MT 59620 (406) 444-3490

# **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)**

*Issued To*: LHC, Inc.

Montana Air Quality Permit number (MAQP): #3050-04

EA Draft: March 14, 2018 EA Final: April 4, 2018 Permit Final: April 20, 2018

- 1. Legal Description of Site: LHC, Inc. (LHC) operates a portable crushing/screening facility. However, MAQP #3050-04 would apply while operating at any location in Montana, except within those areas having a Department-approved permitting program or those areas considered tribal lands. A Missoula County air quality permit will be required for locations within Missoula County, Montana. Addendum 5 applies to the LHC facility while operating at any location in or within 10 km of certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas during the summer months (April 1 September 30) and at sites approved by the Department during the winter months (October 1 March 31).
- 2. Description of Project: LHC proposes to increase screening capacity from 300 tons per hour (tph) to 500 tph as well as increase the size of the diesel-fired generator from 110 horsepower (hp) to 650 hp.
- 3. Objectives of Project: By increasing the screening capacity and the size of the diesel generator, LHC would be able to provide more screened aggregate to local industries.
- 4. Alternatives Considered: In addition to the proposed action, the Department considered the "no-action" alternative. The "no-action" alternative would deny issuance of the air quality permit to the proposed facility which would result in lost revenue due to decreased production capacity. However, the Department does not consider the "no-action" alternative to be appropriate because LHC demonstrated compliance with all applicable rules and regulations as required for permit issuance. Therefore, the "no-action" alternative was eliminated from further consideration.
- 5. A Listing of Mitigation, Stipulations, and Other Controls: A listing of the enforceable permit conditions and a permit analysis, including a BACT analysis, would be contained in MAQP #3050-04.
- 6. Regulatory Effects on Private Property Rights: The Department considered alternatives to the conditions imposed in this permit as part of the permit development. The Department determined the permit conditions would be reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and to demonstrate compliance with those requirements and would not unduly restrict private property rights.

3050-04 1 Final: 4/20/2018

# 7. SUMMARY OF COMMENT ON POTENTIAL PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAN EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

## A. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats

An increase in screening capacity and the size of the diesel generator would have only minor impacts upon the terrestrial and aquatic life and habitats in areas where the equipment may operate. Although air pollutant deposition would occur in the areas where the equipment operates, the size and temporary nature of the operation, dispersion characteristics of pollutants, and conditions placed in MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 would result in minor impacts. Therefore, the operation of the equipment would present only minor impacts to the terrestrial and aquatic life and habitats in areas of potential operation.

# B. Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution

Although there would be an increase in air emissions from the increased screening capacity as well as the portable diesel generator, there would only be minor impacts on water quality, quantity, and distribution because of the temporary nature, size, operational requirements, and conditions placed in MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 for the facility. Further, as described in Section 7.F. of this EA, the Department determined that any impacts from deposition of pollutants would be minor. In addition, any accidental spills or leaks from equipment would be required to be handled according to the appropriate environmental regulations in an effort to minimize any potential adverse impact on the immediate and surrounding area. Overall, the increase in production capacity and generator size would have minor impacts to water quality, quantity, and distribution in the area of operations.

# C. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability, and Moisture

As a result of the increased screening and size of the generator, there would be minor impacts to the geology and soil quality, stability, and moisture near the equipment's operational area because of the increased vehicle traffic and deposition of pollutants from the facility operations. As explained in Section 7.F. of this EA, the facility's size, operational requirements, temporary nature of the operation, and conditions placed in MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5 would minimize the impacts from deposition.

# D. Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality

Minor impacts would occur on vegetative cover, quality, and quantity because the increased screening capacity and larger generator would operate in an area where vegetation has been previously disturbed. Pollutants would be greatly dispersed and corresponding deposition on vegetation from the proposed project would be minor (see Section 8.F of this EA). Also, water would be used for pollution control, as necessary. Therefore, because water use and corresponding water runoff would be minimal, only minimal amounts of vegetation exists within the pit, and minimal vegetation outside the pit would be impacted, the associated impacts upon vegetation would be minimal.

#### E. Aesthetics

This larger generator and screening facility would be visible and would create noise while operating at the existing gravel pit site. However, MAQP #3050-04 would include conditions to control emissions, including visible emissions, from the plant. Also, permit limitations and conditions from Addendum 5 would apply when the facility is operating in nonattainment areas. Since this is an existing portable screening facility and would operate on an intermittent and seasonal basis, any visual aesthetic impacts would be minor and short-lived.

# F. Air Quality

Air quality impacts from the proposed project would be minor because this is an existing facility that would operate on an intermittent and temporary basis and would be located at previously disturbed sites. MAQP #3050-04 would include conditions limiting the facility's opacity and the facility's screening production. MAQP #3050-04 would also require water and water spray bars be available on site and used to control emissions. MAQP #3050-04 would also limit total emissions from the screening facility and any additional LHC equipment operated at the site to 250 tons/year or less, excluding fugitive emissions. Additionally, Addendum 5 would apply while the facility is operating in or within 10 km of a certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas and would impose more stringent requirements for operations within those areas.

Further, the Department determined that this existing screening facility would be a minor source of emissions as defined under the Title V Operating Permit Program because the source's PTE is below the major source threshold level of 100 tons per year for any regulated pollutant. Pollutant deposition from the facility would be minimal because the pollutants emitted would be widely dispersed (from factors such as wind speed and wind direction) and would have minimal deposition on the surrounding area (due to site topography of the area and minimal vegetative cover in the area). Therefore, air quality impacts from operating the crushing/screening equipment in this area would be minor.

### G. Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources

Issuance of this permit would increase emissions to the atmosphere near the location proposed for the operation. However, because of the relatively small size and temporary nature of the facility, operating in previously disturbed areas, and conditions placed in MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5, any impacts to unique endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources from the deposition of pollutants would be minor.

## H. Sage Grouse Executive Order

The Department recognizes that the site is not within the Greater Sage Grouse habitat as defined by Executive Order No. 12-2015.

# I. Demands on Environmental Resources of Water, Air, and Energy

The diesel generator would be used to provide power to LHC's screening equipment. Water would be used on haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or the general plant property, as necessary, to control dust resulting from indirect use of the diesel generator and increased screening capacity. Generally, the operations are seasonal and would result in smaller demands on environmental resources. Therefore, any impacts on the demands of the environmental resources of water, air, and energy would be minor.

# J. Historical and Archaeological Sites

The Department previously contacted the Montana Historical Society - State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) in an effort to identify any historical and/or archaeological sites that may be present in the proposed area of construction/operation. Search results concluded that there are no previously recorded historical or archaeological resources of concern within the area proposed for initial operations. According to past correspondence from the Montana State Historic Preservation Office, there would be a low likelihood of adverse disturbance to any known archaeological or historic site given previous industrial disturbance to an area. Therefore, no impacts upon historical or archaeological sites would be expected as a result of the current permit action.

# K. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

The increase in screening capacity and size of the diesel generator would cause minor effects to the physical and biological environment because other operations may potentially locate at the same site. However, any operations would have to apply for and receive the appropriate permits from the Department prior to operation. The permits would address the environmental impacts associated with the operations at the proposed sites.

The diesel generator and screening operation would be limited by MAQP #3050-04 to total emissions of 250 tons/year or less from non-fugitive diesel generator operations and any other additional equipment used at any given site.

# 8. SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

#### A. Social Structures and Mores

The increase in screening capacity and size of the diesel generator would cause no disruption to the social structures and mores in the area because the source is an existing minor industrial source of emissions and would only have temporary and intermittent operations. Further, the facility would be required to operate according to the conditions that would be placed in MAQP #3050-04 and Addendum 5, which would limit the effects to social structures and mores.

# B. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity

The cultural uniqueness and diversity of this area would not be impacted by the increased screening capacity and size of the diesel generator because the facility is an existing portable source, with seasonal and intermittent operations.

#### C. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue

The proposed increase in screening capacity and size of the diesel generator would have little, if any, effect on local and state tax base and tax revenue. The facility is a relatively small and temporary source; therefore, it would not remain at any individual site for any extended time period.

No full time, permanent employees would be added as a result of issuing MAQP #3050-04, and any revenue created by the increased production capacity and operation of the diesel generator would be widespread and for a relatively short time period.

# D. Agricultural or Industrial Production

Under normal circumstances, the increase in screening capacity and operation of the diesel generator would take place in a previously disturbed industrial area. Therefore, the Department does not expect that the operation of the diesel generator would affect or displace any agricultural land. Further, the facility is small by industrial standards and would have only a minor impact on any local industrial production.

#### E. Human Health

MAQP #3050-04 would incorporate conditions to ensure that the increased screening capacity and larger diesel generator would be operated in compliance with all applicable rules and standards. These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health. As described in Section 7.F. of this EA, the Department determined that any impacts from additional deposition of pollutants associated with the increased production capacity and generator size would be minor due to dispersion characteristics and conditions placed in MAQP #3050-04. The air emissions from this facility would be minimized by opacity limitations on the diesel generator and the surrounding area of operation.

# F. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities

The increased screening capacity and larger generator would be located on previously disturbed property and would not impact access to recreational and wilderness activities. However, minor impact on the quality of recreational activities might be created by the noise from the generator. Emissions from the generator would be minimized as a result of limitations placed in MAQP #3050-04 and the temporary and portable nature of the operation.

## G. Quantity and Distribution of Employment

This portable screening operation would only require a few existing employees to operate and would have seasonal and intermittent operations. No individuals would be expected to permanently relocate to this area of operation as a result of increasing the screening capacity and size of the generator. Therefore, no effects upon the quantity and distribution of employment in this area would be expected.

## H. Distribution of Population

The portable screening operation is a portable industrial facility that would require only a few existing employees to operate. No individuals would be expected to permanently relocate to this area of operation as a result of increasing the production capacity and size of the generator. Therefore, the screening facility would not impact the normal population distribution in the area of operation or any future operating site.

### I. Demands of Government Services

Government services would be required for acquiring the appropriate permits and ensuring compliance with the permits that are issued; however, the government services required would be minor.

# J. Industrial and Commercial Activity

No additional industrial or commercial activity would result from an increased screening capacity or the operation of the larger diesel generator because no secondary activities are expected to move to any area as a result of the current project.

#### K. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals

LHC would be allowed, by MAQP #3050-04, to operate in areas designated by EPA as attainment or unclassified for ambient air quality. Addendum 5 to MAQP #3050-04 would allow for summertime operations (April 1- September 30) in or within 10 km of certain PM10 nonattainment areas. MAQP #3050-04 would contain limits for protecting air quality and to keep facility emissions in compliance with any applicable ambient air quality standards, as a locally adopted environmental plan or goal for operating at this proposed site. Because this is an existing portable facility and would have intermittent and seasonal operations, any impacts from the facility would be minor and short-lived.

### L. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

Overall, the cumulative and secondary social and economic impacts from this project would be minor because facility would initially locate at an existing gravel pit. New businesses would not be drawn to the area and permanent jobs would not be created or lost due to the operation of the larger diesel generator or the increased screening capacity. Because no new employees would be hired due to the operation of the larger diesel generator, there would be no economic impacts from new employees. In addition, any social and economic impacts that are created would be minor and short-lived because of the relatively small size and temporary nature of the operation.

Recommendation: An EIS is not required.

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is an appropriate level of analysis: All potential effects resulting from construction and operation of the proposed facility are minor; therefore, an EIS is not required.

Other groups or agencies contacted, or which may have overlapping jurisdiction: Montana Department of Environmental Quality - Permitting and Compliance Division (Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau); Montana Natural Heritage Program; and the State Historic Preservation Office (Montana Historical Society).

Individuals or groups contributing to this EA: Montana Department of Environmental Quality (Air Resources Management Bureau and Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau), Montana State Historic Preservation Office (Montana Historical Society).

EA prepared by: John P. Proulx

Date: 3/5/2018